Ethical Issues in the Disruptive Behaviors of Incivility, Bullying, and Horizontal/Lateral Violence

The Joint Commission (2008) stated, "Intimidating and disruptive behaviors can foster medical errors, contribute to poor patient satisfaction and to preventable adverse outcomes, increase the cost of care, and cause qualified clinicians, administrators and managers to seek new positions in more professional environments" (p. 1). With the new Medicare reimbursement mechanisms for hospitals, these issues of cost, safety, and patient satisfaction become even more crucial to address. Yet various kinds of disruptive behaviors - incivility, bullying, horizontal/lateral violence - still are tolerated in many health care settings (Rosenstein & O'Daniel, 2005; Wilson, Diedrich, Phelps, & Choi, 2011).

The majority of clinicians enter their chosen discipline with a strong interest in caring for patients. Nurses' idealism and professionalism can be undermined by the allowed presence of individuals who create an unhealthy or even hostile work environment. In this article, the ethical issues and ethical justifications for zero tolerance for these disruptive behaviors are described. After types of disruptive behaviors are defined and the prevalence discussed, the focus will shift to the ethical issues and justifications for change for the individual nurse and for the health care organization. Four suggested practical change strategies are provided.

Examples of disruptive behaviors are throwing objects, banging down the telephone receiver, intentionally damaging equipment, and exposing patients to contaminated fluids or equipment. In Figure 1 the types of disruptive behaviors are defined and other key behaviors are outlined. The overlap of behaviors in the literature makes it difficult to separate the individual's actions into different types, as overlap will be noticed (Read & Laschinger, 2013). However, bullying is beyond the ambivalent disrespect of incivility because it is intentional, intense mistreatment that targets particular individuals or groups (e.g., nurses' aides, novice nurses). Some authors consider these two disruptive behaviors as forms of horizontal/lateral violence (Purpora, Blegen, & Stotts, 2012).

Prevalence of Problem

Although the prevalence of various types of disruptive behaviors is unknown, some research suggests the widespread nature of this ethical issue. "A survey on intimidation conducted by the Institute for Safe Medication Practices found that 40% of clinicians have kept quiet or remained passive during patient care events rather than question a known intimidator" (Institute for Safe Medication Practices, 2003, p. 4). Recent reports show 39% of graduates in their first year of practice witnessed bullying (Laschinger, 2011), and 31% experienced bullying (Laschinger & Grau, 2012). In a statewide survey of South Carolina nurses on the issue of horizontal violence, more than 85% of respondents reported being victims, with experienced nurses often listed as perpetrators (Dulaney & Zager, 2010). Wilson and colleagues (2011) also found 85% of nurses had experienced horizontal/lateral violence.

Disruptive Behaviors are A Violation of the Code of Ethics for Nurses

The Code of Ethics for Nurses (American Nurses Association [ANA], 2001) is the profession's nonnegotiable ethical standard. Its first three provisions define the most essential values and commitments of the nurse, with four interpretative statements that are relevant to ethical issues surrounding disruptive behaviors. Each will be presented and ethical justification for change presented.

1.5 Relationships with Colleagues and Others

The principle of respect for persons extends to all individuals with whom the nurse interacts. The nurse maintains compassionate and caring relationships with colleagues and others with a commitment to fair treatment of individuals, to integrity preserving compromise, and to resolving conflict (ANA, 2001, p. 9). This statement further emphasizes the standard of conduct prohibits any form of harassment or intimidating behavior and the expectation that nurses will value the unique contribution of all individuals. Clearly, statement 1.5 strictly prohibits nurses from engaging in incivility, bullying, or horizontal/lateral violence.
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FIGURE 1.
Definition and Key Behaviors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Key Behaviors</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Incivility                  | Lack of respect for others  
• Psychological in nature  
• Low-intensity, rude, or inconsiderate conduct  
• Unclear intent to harm target | • Rude comments  
• Offensive or condescending language  
• Name calling  
• Public criticism  
• Ethnic or sexual jokes  
• Screaming  
• Attacking a person’s integrity  
• Disregard for interdisciplinary input about patient care | Andersson, Pearson, & Wagner, 2001; Felbinger, 2009; Read & Laschinger, 2013 |
| Bullying                    | Repetitive behavior that happens a minimum of twice a week  
• Long-term behavior that continues for a minimum of 6 months  
• Targeted person finds self-defense difficult and cannot stop the abuse | • Persistent hostility  
• Regular verbal attacks  
• Repeated physical threats  
• Refusal to assist with duties  
• Write retaliatory comments about the nurse to nurse manager  
• Taunting the nurse in front of others  
• Speaking negatively about the nurse to administrators | Felbinger, 2009; Lutgen-Sandvik, Tracy, & Alberts, 2007; McNamara, 2012; Read & Laschinger, 2013; Tuckey, Dollard, Hosking, & Winefield, 2009 |
| Horizontal/Lateral Violence | "Unkind, discourteous, antagonistic interactions between nurses who work at comparable organizational levels and commonly characterized as divisive backbiting and infighting" (Alspach, 2008, p. 13). | • Complaints shared with others without first discussing with the individual  
• Sarcastic comments  
• Withholding support  
• Ignoring or discounting individual’s input  
• Insulting, condescending, patronizing behaviors | Alspach, 2008 |

2.3 Collaboration

Because of the complexity of the health care delivery system, a multidisciplinary approach is needed. “By its very nature, collaboration requires mutual trust, recognition, and respect among the health care team, shared decision making about patient care, and open dialogue among all parties...” (ANA, 2001, pp. 10-11). Disruptive behaviors interfere significantly with nurses’ intra-professional cooperation and multidisciplinary partnership.

3.5 Acting on Questionable Practice

Nurses are expected to recognize and take action concerning any occurrences “of incompetent, unethical, illegal, or impaired practice by any member of the health care team...” (ANA, 2001, p. 14). Furthermore, nurses are expected to express their concern to the persons observed with the questionable practice and, if needed to resolve the situation, direct their concern to an administrator. As the previous two interpretative statements indicate, incivility, bullying, and horizontal/lateral violence are considered unethical practice. This interpretative statement also indicates the organization’s ethical responsibility to have a well-publicized process to address practices that violate the expected code of conduct in the organization.

3.6 Addressing Impaired Practice

This statement views impaired practice as not just substance abuse problems, but any colleagues “adversely affected by mental or physical illness or by personal circumstances” (ANA, 2001, p. 15). This statement also identifies the ethical responsibility of the organization to have workplace polices that support the nurse in the confrontation and the individual who clearly needs help in managing life in a more effective way. Incivility, bullying, and horizontal/lateral violence affect the work climate, job performance, and satisfaction of all who are impacted by such behaviors.

Practical Intervention Strategies

No one solution exists for the complex problem of negative human interaction within the organizational culture. However, the literature suggests ways to prevent and address disruptive behaviors. As an organizational
consultant, I often am involved in helping individuals and leaders deal with disruptive behavior and have found these four strategies as crucial.

**Standards and Code of Conduct**

The Joint Commission (2008) Sentinel Event Alert “Behaviors that Undermine a Culture of Safety” addresses an organization’s accountability to develop standards, a code of conduct, and suggestions to eliminate behaviors that undercut a culture of patient and staff safety. Standards to make a zero-tolerance policy work were developed by the American Association of Critical Care Nurses (2004; 2005). The six standards are authentic leadership, skilled communication, effective decision making, appropriate staffing that matches patient needs and competencies, and meaningful recognition. Authentic leaders do not tolerate incivility and bullying, as they role-model respectful treatment and see the need for trust between leaders and followers within the organization (Read & Laschinger, 2013). Abuse will continue unless programs for multidisciplinary skill development are established and actions are taken by administrators to institute and enforce zero-tolerance policy. Offenders need to be disciplined and victims need support.

**Skill Development**

Most participants in the study by Wilson and colleagues (2011) had at least a bachelor’s degree in nursing, yet nearly 90% noted difficulty confronting someone who was demonstrating horizontal/lateral violence. This lack of skill reflects the importance of conflict resolution training for all in the workplace. Many organizations have developed their own training based on the book *Crucial Conversations: Tools for Talking When the Stakes Are High* (Patterson, Grenny, McMillan, & Switzler, 2012). Others have sent educators to the trainer certification provided by VitalSmarts® (2014), a well-known training model using this book. In my experience many clinical nurses and nurse leaders lack the needed assertiveness and negotiation skills necessary to deal with disruptive behaviors in the workplace.

**Empowerment**

Structural empowerment provides nurses with access to four structures: information, opportunities, resources, and support (Laschinger, 2008). Empowerment is correlated inversely with workplace incivility and supervisor incivility in the general nursing population (Laschinger, Leiter, Day, & Gilin, 2009), as well as to bullying among new graduates (Laschinger, Grau, Finnegan, & Wilk, 2010). Acts of incivility and bullying are attempts to take power from others; therefore, structural empowerment is related to lower levels of incivility, bullying, and horizontal/lateral violence.

**Addressing Practitioner Impairment**

How often is substance abuse, ineffective management of stress, or mental illness (specifically personality disorders) at the root of the disruptive behavior? In my 35 years of organizational consulting experience, the answer is “very often” (Lachman, 2012). Abusers habitually feel above the workplace rules and policies (McNamara, 2012). They see themselves as deserving special privilege and entitled to behave in their chosen way because of what they perceive as incompetent or inefficient behaviors. They are often excellent clinicians, but they lack insight into how they fail to work well with others. They often respond in a defensive and abusive manner to anyone who challenges their practice, especially when the challenge comes from someone they perceive as beneath them in the organization.

The top-level administrator of this clinician (e.g., CNO or CMO) needs to be involved in resolution of the problem, as abusers will not take seriously any intervention by a person of a lower status. Senior people in the organization need to be prepared for threats of getting them fired, taking the issue to the Board of Nursing or a local paper, or initiating a law suit. These are the tough cases, but the willingness of senior administrators to deal or not deal with these disruptive individuals defines the organizational culture. An ethical culture requires leaders to have the moral courage to address disruptive behavior, regardless of who is violating the desired code of conduct.

**Conclusion**

Incivility, bullying, and horizontal/lateral violence are examples of workplace mistreatment that injure individual nurses and the ethical climate of the organization. When these behaviors are allowed, nurse job satisfaction and even retention are affected. The Code of Ethics for Nurses (ANA, 2001) clearly identifies intimidating behaviors as unethical and describes the individual nurse’s responsibility to not engage in such behaviors. In addition, this Code recognizes the responsibility of nurse leaders to implement and enforce policies, processes, education to correct the disruptive behaviors. MAN
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Nurses as Educators

Generation X (1965-1980)

Because of scheduling demands, learners within Generation X prefer distance learning that does not require classroom interaction. They are comfortable with technology and change (Burruss & Popkess, 2012). Therefore, convenience is key to learning in an environment that is enjoyable. This group prefers hands-on activities, role playing, and availability of visual methods (tables, graphics) for learning (Avillion, 2009; Kitchie, 2008).

Generation Y (1981-2001)

Similar to Generation X, individuals of Generation Y prefer a convenient, flexible, and creative environment. Learners are able to multitask and focus more on doing instead of knowing. Learning is expected to be fun, with immediate feedback expected. Blended learning and group interaction in a structured environment are characteristic of this group. In addition, learners are very knowledgeable about technology because they have grown up with it. However, comfort with technology may hinder their critical thinking skills and ability to prioritize roles and responsibilities (Burruss & Popkess, 2012).
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Conclusion

In developing educational programs and training for nurses, educators must understand the principles of adult learning, learning styles, and generational influences on learning. The learning process is improved when education is presented with teaching methods that are coordinated with nurses’ preferred learning styles. When learning needs are met, education is enhanced to promote understanding and retention of information that ultimately impacts patient care (Avillion, 2009).
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