Hybrid density functional theory study of fragment ions generated during mass spectrometry of 1,3-dioxane derivatives
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It was recently reported that the cis,cis and trans,trans diastereoisomers of four 2(r)-R,2,4(R),6(S)-trimethyl-1,3-dioxane derivatives show distinct electron ionization mass spectra. As a possible explanation for this finding, the authors suggested that the ions generated during the mass spectrometry of these compounds could follow different fragmentation patterns that initiate from different ion conformations. In this report, hybrid density functional theory methods have been used to investigate the conformational preference of three ions involved in the mass spectrometry of some 1,3-dioxane derivatives. We found that there is indeed more than one stable ion conformation for each of the investigated ions. Energy profiles along the torsional coordinates connecting the conformers are presented, and factors influencing the relative stability of ion conformations are discussed.

Electron ionization mass spectrometry (EI-MS) is a useful tool in structural investigation of organic compounds. The method has, however, some limitations due to its lack of discrimination between stereoisomers, which typically show very similar mass spectra. Analysis of stereoisomers is usually carried out by other MS techniques,1,2 and examples of these techniques being used to discriminate between enantiomers or diastereoisomers have been reported for a variety of classes of organic substances.3–13 There are only a limited number of studies presenting differences in the EI mass spectra of diastereoisomers in saturated six-membered ring derivatives.14–16

In a recent communication, Loutelier-Bourhis et al.16 reported important differences in the abundances of fragment ions obtained in mass spectrometry of the cis,cis and trans,trans diastereoisomers of four 2(r)-R,2,4(A),6(S)-trimethyl-1,3-dioxane derivatives. To explain the large differences observed between the EI mass spectra of these diastereoisomers, the authors propose, as one possibility, the formation of two pairs of isomeric ions by the loss of the substituent located in the equatorial or axial position at C(2), respectively. These isomeric ions were postulated to exhibit large differences in their stabilities.

The existence of more than one stable conformation for carbocations has previously been determined for other cyclic systems. In a theoretical study, Rauk et al.17 established the presence of two distinct conformers for the 1-methyl-1-cyclohexyl cation, both isomers having the chair conformation. The presence of these two conformers explained the observation of distinct 13C NMR chemical shifts in solution.18 Rauk et al.17 used MP2/6-31G(d) and B3LYP/6-31G(d) levels of theory in that study but did not investigate in detail the process of interchange between the two conformers or its energetics. More recently, Alabugin and Manoharan carried out a systematic computational study into the stability of s-substituted cyclohexyl cations.19

In this report, we present the results of a hybrid density functional theory study into the conformations of some ions obtained in the initial stages of the fragmentation process in mass spectrometry of cis,cis and trans,trans diastereoisomers of 2(r)-chloromethyl-2,4(A),6(S)-trimethyl-1,3-dioxane (1, Fig. 1) and 2(r)-ethyl-2,4(A),6(S)-trimethyl-1,3-dioxane (2, Fig. 1). The purpose of this study is twofold because we investigate not only the existence of more than one stable conformer for the ions generated during the mass spectrometry of the selected substituted dioxanes, but also the energetics of the interchange process in order to determine if the conformers might present different reactivities leading to different fragmentation processes.
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The initial 2,2,4,6-tetrasubstituted 1,3-dioxane derivatives (1 and 2) and the ions (3, 4, and 5) generated during their fragmentation process in mass spectrometry.

**RESULTS**

The three ions investigated in this study are the cations 3, 4, and 5 shown in Fig. 1. The main focus of the study is to investigate the ion conformations obtained by the rotation along the C(2)–C(7) single bond and their relative stabilities. The choice of the dihedral angle that can be used to monitor the rotation along this single carbon–carbon bond is not unique. We chose to investigate the energy profile along a torsional coordinate in mass spectrometry.

**DISCUSSION**

We initially carried out an extensive study using the mPW1PW91/6–31+G(d,p) level of theory, which is an excellent method for investigating molecular properties like the geometry and the vibrational frequencies. In order to investigate the dependence of our results on the theoretical method used, we choose to carry out limited calculations (i.e., for the stationary points only) using a second method.
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**Figure 1.** The initial 2,2,4,6-tetrasubstituted 1,3-dioxane derivatives (1 and 2) and the ions (3, 4, and 5) generated during their fragmentation process in mass spectrometry.

**Figure 2.** The definition of the torsional coordinate.
Figure 3. Torsional coordinate for [C$_5$H$_{10}$O$_2$–CH$_3$]$^+$ (3) calculated at the mPW1PW91/6–31+G(d,p) level of theory. The stationary points along this coordinate are shown inset.

Figure 4. Torsional coordinate for [C$_5$H$_{10}$O$_2$–CH$_2$Cl]$^+$ (4) calculated at the mPW1PW91/6–31+G(d,p) level of theory. The stationary points along this coordinate are shown inset.

Figure 5. Torsional coordinate for [C$_5$H$_{10}$O$_2$–CH$_2$CH$_3$]$^+$ (5) calculated at the mPW1PW91/6–31+G(d,p) level of theory. The stationary points along this coordinate are shown inset.
at 298 K). This very small barrier of rotation implies that the methyl group should rotate freely at room temperature (RT). We therefore choose to characterize only one conformer (which is the lowest-energy conformer). This is the 90-deg conformer (Table 3).

Comparing the results obtained with the two methods used in this study, one can observe that the MPW1K method gives an increased preference for the 90-deg conformer for both 4 and 5. For cation 4, mPW1PW91 functional predicts that the highest-energy stable conformer is the 90-deg one while MPW1K functional predicts that the energy of the 90-deg conformer is very close to the energy of the 0-deg conformer (which is the lowest-energy conformer). This is accompanied by a reduction in the barrier height for the rotation along the C(2)–C(7) bond using the MPW1K method.

For the [C₅H₁₀O₂–CH₂CH₃]⁺ ion (3), we found a total of six minima along the torsional coordinate between 0 and 360°. Three of these minima are equivalent, and so are the other three. We therefore choose to characterize only one minimum of each type in Table 1. The barrier height for methyl rotation in 3, that converts one stable conformer into the other, is calculated to be very small (less than 0.20 kJ/mol) using both electronic structure theory methods. This very small barrier of rotation implies that the methyl group should rotate freely at room temperature (RT = 2.48 kJ/mol at 298 K).

For both the [C₅H₁₀O₂–CH₂Cl]⁺ (4) and the [C₅H₁₀O₂–CH₂CH₃]⁺ (5) ions, we found only four minima along the torsional coordinate, one having a CCCZ dihedral angle of 0°, one having a CCCZ dihedral angle of 180°, and two equivalent ones with CCCZ dihedral angles of about 90° and −90°, respectively. In the following discussion we will label these three distinct conformers as 0-deg conformer, 180-deg conformer, and 90-deg conformer, respectively. In the case of ion 4, the lowest-energy conformer is the 0-deg conformer (Table 2), while for ion 5 the lowest-energy conformer is the 90-deg conformer (Table 3).

\[ \text{Table 1. Geometric and energetic parameters for the stationary points along the torsional coordinate for } [\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_2–\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3]^+ \ (3) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>( \theta^\circ )</th>
<th>( R_{C-C} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( R_{C-H} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( R_{C-I} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( V ) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>( \Delta G ) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>( \omega ) (cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mPW1PW91/6-31+G(d,p)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.780</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>1.768</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>317.1 i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>1.755</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>1.093</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>1.768</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>333.8 i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>1.780</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPW1/K/6-31+G(d,p)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.767</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>1.757</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>32.1 i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>1.744</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145.3</td>
<td>1.757</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>33.4 i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>1.767</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a \( \theta \) is the CCCH dihedral angle (i.e., the torsional coordinate).

b The C–H distances correspond to the CCCH dihedral angles listed three columns to the left.
functional. For cation 5, the 90-deg conformer is the lowest-energy conformer for both methods but is more stable than the 0-deg and 180-deg conformers using the MPW1K method. In this case, the barrier of rotation increases and the CCCZ dihedral angles for the saddle points along the torsional coordinate are further apart from each other and closer to 0 and 180°. (The CCCZ dihedral angles are 24.3 and 150.2° using mPW1PW91 versus 19.1 and 154.1° using MPW1K.) It is interesting to note that considering the Gibbs free energy (ΔG), the 90-deg conformer is the most stable isomer for both cations 4 and 5, and using both methods (Tables 2 and 3).

One can also discover a good correlation between the calculated vibrational frequency and the energy profile along the torsional coordinate for all ions conformations examined. Comparing mPW1PW91 and MPW1K results, the higher vibrational frequencies (both positive for minima and imaginary for saddle points) correlate well with the relative stability of the conformers for all three ions examined.

In order to understand the existence and the relative stability of the three types of conformers for 4 and 5, a more careful analysis is necessary. Our calculations show that, for all conformations of all three fragment ions, the three bonds that C(2) is making with O(1), O(3), and C(7) are almost coplanar. This corresponds to an sp² hybridization for C(2) and the existence of an empty p orbital on C(2). As a result, the relative stabilities of different conformers for the ions examined can be better understood by investigating the possible ways of stabilizing the empty p orbital at C(2).

Within valence bond theory, the main factor for the overall stability of the ions is the mesomeric stabilization (i.e., resonance) between the empty p orbital on C(2) and lone pairs of the two oxygen atoms in the ring. However, this stabilization is present for all conformers and all ions and will not influence significantly the conformer relative stability. Consequently, this relative stability will be determined primarily by the different hyperconjugative stabilization occurring between the empty p orbital and the three σ bonds at C(7), other than that with C(2), which will further stabilize the p orbital. This stabilizing hyperconjugation is more significant when a σ bond is parallel (or close to parallel) to the empty p orbital and of no consequence when a σ bond is perpendicular to the empty p orbital. When hyperconjugation is occurring, the σ bond becomes weaker and therefore longer. Similarly, when hyperconjugation is not present, the σ bond is stronger and therefore shorter. A simple method of monitoring the extent of hyperconjugative stabilization of the empty p orbital at C(2) is to investigate systematically the internuclear distances between C(7) and the atoms bonded to it.

The results in Tables 2 and 3 show clearly that there are significant changes in bond lengths between C(7) and Cl (for 4) and between C(7) and C(8) (for 5) when comparing the conformers in which those bonds are involved in hyperconjugation (0-deg and 180-deg conformers) with the 90-deg conformer in which the hyperconjugation is insignificant. For example, using the mPW1PW91 method, the C–Cl bond in 4 decreases from 1.780 Å in both the 0-deg and 180-deg conformers to 1.755 Å in the 90-deg conformer (Table 2). The change is slightly less using the MPW1K method but still significant.

For ion 4, as the C–Cl distance decreases when going from the 0-deg conformer to the 90-deg conformer, both C(7)–H distances increase. The 90-deg conformer is asymmetric so the C–H distances are not exactly the same but they are very close in value at 1.094 and 1.093 Å, respectively. A similar behavior is observed for the C(7)–H bond distances in ion 5. The MPW1K method gives slightly shorter distances than the mPW1PW91 method but similar changes when comparing the conformers. A good correlation between the C–H bond lengths and the presence of hyperconjugation is also present for cation 3, with a longer C–H distance for a torsional coordinate of 0 or 180° (equivalent to C–H σ bond parallel to the empty p orbital).

Considering all the above, the determining factor in the relative stabilities of the conformers for the ions 4 and 5 appears to be the ability of the C–Cl and C–C σ bonds to stabilize through hyperconjugation the empty p orbital at C(2). For cation 4, hyperconjugation with the C–Cl bond stabilizes well the p orbital in the 0-deg and the 180-deg conformers where this bond is parallel to this empty p orbital. In the 90-deg conformer this interaction is almost completely absent so the only stabilization is hyperconjugation with the two C–H bonds, which is less efficient, leading to a higher-energy conformer. For cation 5, hyperconjugation with the C–C bond does not stabilize well the empty p orbital in the 0-deg and the 180-deg conformers so the 90-deg conformer becomes the lowest in energy when this interaction is replaced by hyperconjugation with C–H bonds.

In summary, the current study showed that all fragment ions examined (3, 4, and 5) display more than one stable conformation. The barrier heights for interchange between these conformers are calculated to be rather low using both hybrid density functional theory methods employed here. This implies that all cation conformations are available at room temperature, and that they are easily interchangeable. It is therefore unlikely that the difference in the fragmentation pathways observed in the mass spectroscopy of the diastereoisomers of 1,3-dioxanes derivatives 1 and 2 is due to the existence of these isomeric ions. It is quite possible though that the two diastereoisomers of 1 or 2 will generate, during the fragmentation process, the same pair of carbocation (3 and 4 or 3 and 5) in different excited vibrational states leading to different reactivities (or stabilities). The same idea was postulated by Loutelier-Bourhis et al., but the authors attributed the different reactivities for these ions to different isomeric ions (i.e., conformers). Additional experimental and/or theoretical studies could provide further insight or could reveal other factors that might be significant to this subject.

CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents the conformational analysis of some carbocations formed as intermediates in the mass spectrometry of diastereoisomers of 1,3-dioxane derivatives 1 and 2. We found that each ion examined had more than one stable conformer. Cation 3 shows two distinct conformations separated by a very small barrier height implying easy
interchange between the two conformations. For cations 4 and 5 we found three different stable conformations. There is, however, a difference in the most stable conformer of 4 and 5; the lowest-energy conformer for 4 has $C_s$ symmetry while the lowest-energy conformer for 5 has $C_1$ symmetry. Due to the relatively small rotation barrier height, the different conformers are unlikely to be involved in distinct fragmentation pathways leading to different EI mass spectra unless they are generated in different vibrationally excited states therefore displaying different reactivities.
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