## Shared Governance Survey - Feb 2021 Executive Summary

The majority of faculty support department heads being appointed for set terms.

- Faculty prefer 5 -year terms for department heads.
- The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) department heads being able to serve more than one consecutive term.
- Faculty are split on how long department heads should term. Slightly over half of the faculty think dept heads should serve no more than 10 years consecutively, while nearly a third think there should be no limits on how many consecutive terms a department heads can serve.
- The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) all tenured faculty in the department being notified when a department head term is nearing the end and allow them to apply.

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) the proposed changes to section 4.7.1 of the Faculty Handbook. Over $80 \%$ of full-time, non-tenure track faculty support the changes.

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) expanding the Handbook Committee to include full-time faculty who are not members of the Faculty Senate.

- Faculty are split over the balance of Senate and non-Senate members on the Handbook committee. $44.9 \%$ want the majority of members to be Senators, while $33.7 \%$ want an even split between Senate and non-Senate members.

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) expanding the number of non-tenure track faculty serving on the non-tenure track committee. Over $90 \%$ of full-time, non-tenure track faculty support the change.

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) adding full-time non-tenure-track divisional members to the Faculty Senate. Over $93 \%$ of full-time, non-tenure track faculty support the change.

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) adding a part-time non-tenure-track at-large member to the Faculty Senate. $90 \%$ of part-time non-tenure-track faculty support the change.

## Dept Head Terms of Appointment

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) department heads being appointed for set terms.


| College | Support | Neutral | Oppose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAS | $54.4 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ |
| CECS | $44.5 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
| CHEPS | $49 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ |
| RCOB | $55.5 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| LIB | $50 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |

Faculty prefer 5-year terms for department heads.


| College | 3 years | 4 years | 5 years | 6 years | 7 years |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAS | $17.3 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| CECS | $22.2 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| CHEPS | $15.2 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| RCOB | $19.2 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ |
| LIB | $13.3 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) department heads being able to serve more than one consecutive term.


| College | Support | Neutral | Oppose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAS | $76.3 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| CECS | $66.7 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| CHEPS | $78.8 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ |
| RCOB | $81.4 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| LIB | $56.3 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |

Faculty are split on how long department heads should term. Slightly over half of the faculty think dept heads should serve no more than 10 years consecutively, while nearly a third think there should be no limits on how many consecutive terms a department heads can serve.


| College | 5-10 years | 11-15 years | 16-20 years | 21-25 years | No limit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAS | $51.1 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |
| CECS | $55.6 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| CHEPS | $48.9 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ |
| RCOB | $48.1 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ |
| LIB | $73.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) all tenured faculty in the department being notified when a department head term is nearing the end and being allowed to apply.


| College | Support | Neutral | Oppose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAS | $91.6 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| CECS | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| CHEPS | $85.1 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| RCOB | $85.2 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| LIB | $93.3 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Handbook Changes

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) the proposed changes to section 4.7.1 of the Faculty Handbook. Over $80 \%$ of full-time, non-tenure track faculty support the changes.


| Faculty | Support | Neutral | Oppose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured | $66.3 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| Tenure Track | $65.9 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Full-time Non-Tenure Track | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8 \%}$ |
| Part-time Non-Tenure Track | $63.3 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Bylaws Changes

## Handbook Committee

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) expanding the Handbook Committee to include full-time faculty who are not members of the Faculty Senate.


Faculty are split over the balance of Senate and non-Senate members on the Handbook committee. 44.9\% want the majority of members to be Senators, while $33.7 \%$ want an even split between Senate and nonSenate members.

|  | \% of Faculty |
| :--- | :---: |
| Majority of members should be from Senate | $44.9 \%$ |
| Even split between Senate and Non-Senate members | $33.7 \%$ |
| Majority of members should not be from the Senate | $1.6 \%$ |
| There should no rules regarding how many members are or <br> are not from Senate. | $19.8 \%$ |

## Non-Tenure Track Committee

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) expanding the number of non-tenure track faculty serving on the non-tenure track committee. Over $90 \%$ of full-time, non-tenure track faculty support the change.


| Faculty | Support | Neutral | Oppose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured | $76.5 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| Tenure Track | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Full-time Non-Tenure Track | $\mathbf{9 1 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 \%}$ |
| Part-time Non-Tenure Track | $86.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3} \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Senate Full-Time Non-Tenure-Track Membership

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) adding full-time non-tenure-track divisional members to the Faculty Senate. Over $93 \%$ of full-time, non-tenure track faculty support the change.


| Faculty | Support | Neutral | Oppose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured | $65.2 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| Tenure Track | $80.4 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Full-time Non-Tenure Track | $\mathbf{9 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Part-time Non-Tenure Track | $86.6 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Senate Part-Time Non-Tenure-Track Membership

The majority of faculty support (strongly or somewhat) adding a part-time non-tenure-track at-large member to the Faculty Senate. $90 \%$ of part-time non-tenure-track faculty support the change.


| Faculty | Support | Neutral | Oppose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured | $65.2 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Tenure Track | $69.5 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Full-time Non-Tenure Track | $91.4 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Part-time Non-Tenure Track | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%} \%$ |

