# PRE-PARTICIPATION INJURY RISK STATUS AS A PREDICTOR OF MEDICAL EXPENDITURES AND SECONDARY INSURANCE COSTS FOR COLLEGE FOOTBALL PLAYERS

Thomas M. Morrison, MS, ATC, Gary B. Wilkerson, EdD, ATC, Scott L. Bruce, MS, ATC

## **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE**

- Athletes who have elevated injury risk may impose greater injury treatment costs on college athletic programs
- Little evidence exists to guide strategies for reduction of sports injuries and their associated costs<sup>1</sup>
- Predictive modeling has been used to identify high-risk athletes,<sup>2</sup> but has not been used to assess related costs
- Core and lower extremity (LE) sprains and strains account for approximately 65% of college football injuries<sup>2</sup>
- The purpose of this study was to evaluate pre-participation categorization of college football players as high-risk versus low-risk for prediction of subsequent costs associated with treatment of sport-related injuries

### SUBJECTS AND PROCEDURES

- 171 NCAA Division I-FCS football players who participated in the 2009 and 2010 seasons
  - Age: 19.9
    1.5 years; Height: 1.85
    0.07 m; Weight: 100.97
    18.70 kg
- Wall Sit Hold (WSH) test and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) survey administered with pre-participation exam
- High-Risk classification based on ≥ 2 of 3 risk factors identified by previous analyses <sup>3</sup>
- 1) Starter: ≥ 1 game
- 2) Low WSH time:  $\leq$  60 sec (2009 bilateral test) or  $\leq$  41 sec (2010 non-dominant unilateral test)
- 3) High ODI: ≥ 4 points
- Classification of players: 46 High-Risk and 125 Low-Risk in terms of core or LE sprain / strain susceptibility
- Operational definition of injury:
  - Interruption of participation in practice session or competition event
  - Evaluation by athletic trainer or physician
  - Administration of therapeutic procedures
  - Inclusion on coach's injury report
- Records reviewed to obtain injury treatment cost data, i.e. primary and secondary insurance payments
  - Cost related to treatments administered in athletic training room not included
  - In-house fluoroscopy limited insurance payments for diagnostic imaging in many cases
- Analyses of injury treatment cost data:
  - Total insurance payments (primary + secondary) for core or LE sprain / strain
  - Total insurance payments (primary + secondary) for any musculoskeletal (MSK) injury (including fractures)

#### RESULTS

- Costs for core or LE sprain / strain (Total payments: \$133,309; Secondary payments: \$14,516)
  - Among 46 High-Risk players 87% (40/46) injured; 37% (17/46) with treatment costs (\$79,159; \$9,787)
  - Among 125 Low-Risk players 30% (38/125) injured; 8% (10/125) with treatment costs (\$54,150; \$4,729)
    - Total insurance payments per player: High-Risk 4 X greater than Low-Risk
    - Secondary insurance payments per player: High-Risk 5.6 X greater than Low-Risk
- Costs for any MSK (core or upper / LE + fracture) injury (Total payments: \$224,604; Secondary payments \$25,893)
  - Among 46 High-Risk players 37% (17/46) with treatment costs (\$109,375; \$14,678)
  - Among 125 Low-Risk players 8% (10/125) with treatment costs (\$115,229; \$11,215)
    - Total insurance payments per player: High-Risk 2.5 X greater than Low-Risk
    - Secondary insurance payments per player: High-Risk 3.5 X greater than Low-Risk
- Average per player secondary payment for 27 injured players with any cost for core or LE sprain / strain (\$538)
  - 17 of 40 injured High-Risk players: \$576
  - 10 of 38 injured Low-Risk players: \$473
    - Average per injured player who imposed any cost 1.2 X greater for High-Risk than Low-Risk

Core or LE Sprain / Strain Prediction					
Group	Injury		No Injury		
High-Risk	40		6		
Low-Risk	38		87		
Total	78		93		
Fisher's exact p <.001					
Sensitivity = .51		Specificity = .94			
+LR = 7.95	R = 7.95		-LR = .52		
OR = 7.95 / .52 = 15.26 (90% CI: 6.94 - 33.56)		RR = .870 / .303 = 2.86 (90% CI: 2.25 - 3.64)			

injury freatment Cost Prediction				
Group	Any Cost		No Cost	
High-Risk	17		29	
Low-Risk	10		115	
Total	27		144	
Fisher's exact p <.0	isher's exact p <.001			
Sensitivity = .63		Specificity = .80		
+LR = 3.13		-LR = .46		
OR = 3.13 / .46 = 6. (90% CI: 3.22 - 14.12)		RR = .370 / .080 = 4.62 (90% CI: 2.56 - 8.34)		

Injury Treatment Cost Prediction\*

# THE UNIVERSITY of TENNESSEE CHATTANOOGA

		Core or LE	Sprain / Strain	Any MSK Injury	
		Total	Secondary	Total	Secondary
Per player	High-Risk (n=46)	\$1,721	\$213	\$2,378	\$319
within group	Low-Risk (n=125)	\$433	\$38	\$922	\$90
Per <u>injured</u> player within group	High-Risk (n=40)	\$1,979	\$245	\$2,734	\$367
	Low-Risk (n=38)	\$1,425	\$124	\$3,032	\$295
·		·	·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Treatment Cost for Any MSK Injury Relative to Number of Risk Factors for Core or LE Sprain / Strain						
Risk Factors	N	Any Cost	Total Cost	Total Cost Average per Player	Secondary Cost	Secondary Cost Average per Player
0	60	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	63	9	\$131,751	\$2,091	\$12,337	\$181
≥2	43	18	\$92,852	\$2,159	\$13,556	\$315

#### CONCLUSIONS

- The WSH test, ODI survey, and anticipated game exposure can categorize athletes as high- or low-risk for injury
  - Categorization of injury risk also appears to identify athletes who will impose higher costs for medical services
- Although the 3-factor injury prediction model was developed to identify players at-risk for core or LE sprain / strain, the model also appears to identify players who will impose higher costs for other MSK injuries as well
  - Individualized injury prevention programs for high-risk players may reduce secondary insurance expenditures

#### **REFERENCES**

- Garrick JG, et al. An analysis of epidemiology and financial outcome. Am J Sports Med. 1993;21:586-590.
- 2. Hootman JM, et al. Epidemiology of collegiate injuries for 15 sports: summary and recommendations for injury prevention initiatives. J Athl Train. 2007;42:311-319.
- Wilkerson GB, et al. Prediction of core and lower extremity strains and sprains in collegiate football players: a preliminary study. J Athl Train. 2012;7 (in press).