Proposed UTC Faculty Workload Policy

Background

The TBR and UT systems are in different stages of implementing workload policies to characterize and assign faculty duties. The UT system has provided individual campuses the option of developing workload policies, subject to system review. Faculty at UTC typically engage in activities related to teaching, scholarship, and service, yet faculty effort is often conceptualized and reported in terms of teaching effort (credit hours, SCH, contact hours, credit hour equivalents, etc.). Under the current approach, time spent on other activities must be justified as release from teaching duties. From this perspective, non-teaching activities (such as research and service) are devalued and accounting for such effort is difficult. Further, the current reporting structure is difficult to explain to external constituents, who may not realize faculty do much more than lecture in the classroom.

The UT System could simply embrace the cumbersome TBR workload policy. That policy defines a semester workload as 15 hours of undergraduate teaching, or 12 hours of graduate teaching, or some equivalent and requires extensive documentation from the faculty member and department head every semester. According to the TBR policy, faculty usually carry 12 undergraduate credit hours (or 9 graduate hours) along with a 3 credit hour equivalent in other duties. These can include advising, administration, research or creative activity, institutional or public service, or other service associated with the profession. Not only would this cumbersome approach add another layer of accounting and evaluation to the existing EDO system, but it may even be inconsistent with the plain language of the handbook. In lieu of a system-wide UT policy, UTC should avoid the pitfalls of the TBR workload policy and proactively develop a policy that best fits its mission.

Goal

Develop a UTC workload policy that recognizes a wide spectrum of appropriate faculty activities, provides flexible guidelines for assigning faculty workloads, accommodates disciplinary differences, and provides a mechanism to account for and report faculty work assignments that integrates into the current EDO process. The policy should be flexible, complement existing Faculty Handbook provisions, align with current workload assignment procedures, minimize paperwork, and recognize the value of the hard work faculty are already doing.

Policy

For the purpose of determining faculty workloads, UTC defines a full faculty load as 100 percent effort. Faculty effort typically falls into the areas of teaching, scholarship, and service, with teaching being primary, as broadly described in the UTC Faculty Handbook. The draft UTC Faculty Workload Guidelines identify faculty activities and common ranges of percentages associated with these activities.

Implementation

The assignment of workload will be implemented through the existing EDO Individual Objectives document and process. These documents presently require that individual faculty describe appropriate planned activities in teaching, scholarship, and service, subject to department head review and approval. The Faculty Workload Guidelines would provide guidance to faculty and department heads in assigning appropriate percentage of effort to the various activities described in the EDO Individual Objectives documents. Percentages could be entered into the existing EDO Individual Objectives documents or summarized on a one-page form listing activities and percentages of effort devoted to each activity. The total effort would add up to 100 percent. Faculty workload assignments could easily be reported using this approach.

The department head is responsible for equitable workloads and ensuring that the department meets student-related, professional, and institutional responsibilities. The EDO objectives process is used for the head and the faculty member to establish workload expectations for the year and the EDO evaluation process is when the measurement of the workload occurs.

		Department	Individual
			Faculty
Teaching and	Advising	50-80%	
C	Delaware SCH Discipline Norm		50-150% of Delaware Norm
A	Advising organizations		evaluation based on student
A	Advising students		assessment, peer reviews,
L	abs		self-assessment and outcomes
Ν	/lentoring		
C	Development		
G	Graduate Thesis/Diss. Chair		
F	lonors Thesis Chair		
G	Graduate Thesis/Diss. Committee		
F	lonors Thesis Committee Member		
S	tudent Research Activities		
h	ndividual Studies		
	nternships		

Research/Creative Activities

Publications with Students Academic Publications Presentations with Students Academic Presentations Grant Implementation External Awards Internal Awards Performances Creative Activities with Students 10 to 30%

evaluated based on quality, scope, and impact.

Service

10 to 20%

evaluated based on quality, scope, and impact.

Department Committees University Committees University Committee Leadership Community Outreach Community Board Leadership Community Boards Disciplinary Committees Disciplinary Committees Disciplinary Committee Leadership Reviewer of Articles, Books, Programs Editor of Journal Editorial Board of Journal Conference Activities

Administrative Duties

0 to 50%

as assigned

scope = national, regional, or local
quality = peer-reviewed, invited, or non-peer reviewed
impact = citations, media coverage, dollar amount

Sample Faculty Workload Matrix for HHP

Faculty status	Emphasis	Teaching	Research	Service	Admin
		(50-80%)	(10-30%)	(10-20%)	(<u><</u> 50%)
Grad Faculty	Significant	40%	50%	10%	n/a
	research	(3-4	-lead student	-university	
		courses/AY)	research	committees	
			projects	-some	
			-Lead multiple	community	
			peer-reviewed	outreach	
			outcomes	-Work with	
			-Assist with	student	
			other peer-	organizations	
			reviewed	-emerging	
			research	evidence	
			outcomes	involvement	
			-Submit	w/professional	
			internal grants	organization	
			as PI		
			Submit		
			External		
			grants as PI		
			-Manage		
			funded		
			projects		
Grad Faculty	PC*	30%	10%	10%	50%
		(3 courses/AY)	-lead student	-university	-duties as per
			research	committees	accreditation
			projects	-some	standards
			-Lead at least	community	
			one peer-	outreach	
			reviewed	-Work with	
			outcome	student	
			-Assist with	organizations	
			other peer-	-emerging	
			reviewed	evidence	
			research	involvement	
			outcomes	w/professional	
			-Assist on	organization	
			internal grants		
Grad Faculty	Traditional	60%	30%	10%	n/a
		(5-6	-lead student	-university	
		courses/AY)	research	committees	
			projects	-some	
			-Lead multiple	community	
				-	
			peer-reviewed	outreach	
				-	

			other peer- reviewed research outcomes -Submit internal grants as PI	organizations -emerging evidence involvement w/professional organization	
UG Faculty	PC*	30% -Deliver 125 SCH/semester (or up to ~25% more)	10% -assist student research projects -Lead at least one peer- reviewed outcome -Assist with other peer- reviewed research outcomes -Assist on internal grants	10% -university committees -some community outreach -Work with student organizations -emerging evidence involvement w/professional organization	50% -duties as per accreditation standards
UG Faculty	Traditional	60% Deliver 250 SCH/semester (+/- 25%)	30% -lead student research projects -Lead multiple peer-reviewed outcomes -Assist with other peer- reviewed research outcomes -Submit internal grants as PI	10% -university committees -some community outreach -Work with student organizations -emerging evidence involvement w/professional organization	
UG Faculty	Significant research	40% Deliver 175 SCH/semester (+/- 25%)	50% -lead student research projects -Lead multiple peer-reviewed outcomes -Assist with other peer- reviewed	10% -university committees -some community outreach -Work with student organizations -emerging	n/a

res	search	evidence	
ou	itcomes	involvement	
-Su	ubmit	w/professional	
int	ternal grants	organization	
as	PI		
-Su	ubmit		
Ext	ternal		
gra	ants as Pl		
-M	1anage		
fur	nded		
pro	ojects		

*PC = Program Coordinator (though this can be further delineated by programs that require national
accreditation and those that do not)

Sample Faculty Workload Matrix for Mathematics & Sciences

Faculty status	Emphasis	Teaching (45-90%)	Research (0-45%)	Service (10%)
Graduate Faculty	Significant research	45% (4 courses/AY)	 45% Faculty research agenda Lead student research in MS program Submit internal grants as PI Submit External grants as PI Manage funded projects 	10% • Disciplinary service • Department committees
Graduate Faculty	Balanced Research and Teaching	60% (6 courses/AY)	 30% Faculty research agenda Lead student research in MS program Submit internal grants as PI 	 10% Department and University committees Work with student organizations Disciplinary service Curriculum maintenance
Lecturer	Teaching	90% (8 courses/AY)	0% No expectations in this area	 10% Department and University committees Curriculum maintenance

Sample Faculty Workload Matrix for Social Sciences

Emphasis	Teaching	Research	Service	Admin
Research	(60-80%) 60%	(10-30%) 30%	(10-20%) 10%	(<u><</u> 50%) n∕a
	(50-150% SCH target generation)	-multiple peer- reviewed outcomes	-university and department	
	advising majors	(national)	committees	
	1 DHon project	-conference	-some community	
	-occasional new course	engagement (national)	outreach - involvement	
	development	-Assist with other	w/professional	
		peer-reviewed	organization	
		research outcomes	(regional, serve)	
		-Submit internal	 local media as needed 	
		grants -Submit External	needed	
		grants as PI as		
		warranted		
		-Manage funded		
Teaching	80%	projects 10%	10%	n/a
reaching	(well over 150%	-Work towards at	-university and	n/ d
	SCH target)	least one peer-	department	
	new course	reviewed outcome	committees	
	development, new	-conference	-some community	
	modalities, course programs requiring	participation -submit internal	outreach -involvement	
	more engagement	grants	w/professional	
	with students,		organization	
	multiple DHon		(regional, serve)	
	projects,		- local media as	
	independent studies, advising		needed	
	student groups and			
	organizations			
Service	50%	10%	30%	n/a
	(50-150% SCH	-Work towards at	-lead university and	
	target generation) advising majors	least one peer- reviewed outcome	department committees	
	1 DHon project	-conference	-extensive	
	-occasional new	participation	community	
	course	-submit internal	outreach	
	development	grants	- leadership in	
			professional organization	
			- media as needed	

Administrative	25%-40%	10%	10%	25-50%
Release	(25-100% SCH	-Work towards at	-university and	-duties as per
	target generation)	least one peer-	department	accreditation
	advising students	reviewed outcome	committees	standards
		-conference	-some community	
		participation	outreach	
		-submit internal	-involvement	
		grants	w/professional	
			organization	
			(regional)	
			- local media as	
			needed	